

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/829,364		04/09/2001	Steven B. Smith	9311.16 9690		
21999	7590	01/07/2004		EXAMINER		
KIRTON A	AND MC	CONKIE	RUDY, ANDREW J			
1800 EAGI 60 EAST S			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
P O BOX 4	5120			3627		
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84145-0120				DATE MAILED: 01/07/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}$					
	Application	on No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summer	09/829,36	4	SMITH ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
		seph Rudy	3627	·					
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the	cover sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailinearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	.136(a). In no event ply within the statu d will apply and will ate, cause the appl	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t ication to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely he mailing date of this co) (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u></u> .								
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	s action is no	n-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-65</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		nsideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.									
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8) Claim(s) 1-65 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin									
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language processes and the second sec	nts have been the have been ority docume au (PCT Rule of the certificitic priority unirst sentence rovisional appartic priority units priority units or the certific priority units or the priority units of the been decided and the priority units of the priority uni	n received. In received in Application received in Application its have been received 17.2(a)). It is idea to be a received der 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) of the specification or oblication has been received der 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 der 35 U.S.C. §§ 12	on No d in this National S d.) (to a provisional in an Application I eived. and/or 121 since a	application) Data Sheet. a specific					
Attachment(s)		Λ Π 1-4	DTO 4461 D						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	4) Interview Summary (5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other: .							

Application/Control Number: 09/829,364

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-11, drawn to a method for job-based management of a business, classified in class 705, subclass 30.
 - II. Claims 12-19, drawn to a job-based method for managing business resources, classified in class 705, subclass 34.
 - III. Claims 20-22, drawn to a method for providing a real-time financial account, classified in class 705, subclass 36.
 - IV. Claims 23-30, drawn to a computer implemented method for virtual accounts including purchase orders, classified in class 705, subclass 26.
 - V. Claims 31-33, drawn to a computer implemented method for budget management of a business, classified in class 705, subclass 39.
 - VI. Claims 34-40, drawn to a method specifying virtual accounts, classified in class 705, subclass 36.
 - VII. Claims 41-51, drawn to a computer data signal, classified in class 713, subclass 190.
 - VIII. Claims 52-56, drawn to a system, classified in class 709, subclass 203.
 - IX. Claims 57-65, drawn to a computer readable medium, classified in class 700, subclass 214.

Application/Control Number: 09/829,364 Page 3

Art Unit: 3627

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I, II, III, IV, V, VI and VII, VIII and IX are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case Group Inventions I, II, III, IV, V, VI do not require a carrier wave as recited in Group VII, a networked computer type devices, nor a computer readable medium.
- 3. Inventions Group I and II, III, IV, V, VI are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as an automated invoice or point-of-sale device and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 3627

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for either Groups II, III, IV, V, VI, VII, VIII or IX, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. A telephone call was made to Michael F. Krieger, Esq. (Reg. No. 35,232) on Monday, December 29, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made. Mr. Krieger requested the restriction be mailed due to the number of proposed restriction Groups.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 3627

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

- 9. Applicant's two separate Information Disclosure Statements (IDS's) were received on September 19, 2001 and January 21, 2003. These IDS's will be reviewed in due course.
- 10. Applicant's Amendment received September 25, 2001 has been entered. It is noted that Applicant's Declaration does not reflect the above noted Amendment.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 703-308-7808. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday thru Friday, 7:30 a.m until 6 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Robert Olszewski can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-305-7687.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Andrew Joseph Rody